

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF PUERTO RICO

HECTOR VÁZQUEZ-DÍAZ, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

RENE HERNÁNDEZ-ARENCIBIA,

Defendant.

Civil No. 07-2097 (JAF)

**ORDER**

Before this court are motions for litigation costs and attorney's fees filed by Defendant, René Hernández-Arencia. (Docket Nos. 91; 93.) Defendant claims entitlement to litigation costs by virtue of his being the prevailing party, and entitlement to attorney's fees in accordance with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 11. (*Id.*) Plaintiffs, Héctor Vázquez-Díaz ("Vázquez"), Tania Maldonado de Vázquez, and the conjugal partnership between them, oppose. (Docket Nos. 94; 96.)

A full factual history of the case is outlined in our Opinion and Order of May 20, 2009 (Docket No. 88). We proceed to the motions at bar.

Defendant filed a timely motion for award of litigation costs on June 22, 2009, arguing that Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 54(d) and 18 U.S.C. § 1920 entitled him, as the prevailing party, to an award of \$9,529.39. Plaintiffs object to Defendant's motion for the award of costs,

Civil No. 07-2097 (JAF)

-2-

1 asserting numerous arguments, including: Defendant is not a prevailing party; we should refrain  
2 from assessing costs until the disposition of the supplemental claims that are now before a  
3 Puerto Rico court; and various costs claimed by Defendant are not taxable under § 1920.  
4 (Docket No. 94.)

5 Defendant has also filed a motion for award of attorney's fees in the amount of \$59,530,  
6 claiming that fees should be awarded as a sanction under Rule 11. (Docket No. 93.) Plaintiffs  
7 oppose, arguing that Defendant has not complied with the procedures for pursuing a sanction  
8 under Rule 11. (Docket No. 96.) In addition, Plaintiffs contend that RICO does not authorize  
9 attorney's fees for prevailing defendants. (Id.) Subsequent to the filing of the above motions  
10 and oppositions, Plaintiffs filed a notice of appeal on August 6, 2009. (See Docket No. 97.)

11 Where a case is pending on appeal, Local Rule of Civil Procedure 54(b) grants us the  
12 discretion to dismiss without prejudice a motion for taxation of costs. Once the court of appeals  
13 has issued its mandate, the prevailing party is granted fourteen days in which to refile its motion  
14 for costs. L.Cv.R. 54(b). As the success of Defendant's motion is dependent on his status as  
15 a prevailing party — a status that is in doubt pending the disposition of Plaintiffs' appeal — we,  
16 therefore, employ the local rule and dismiss without prejudice.

17 In regard to attorney's fees, the local rules mandate the same outcome. Unlike Local  
18 Rule 54(b), which granted us discretion to deny claims for costs, Local Rule 54(a) states that  
19 a claim for attorney's fees in a case pending appeal "shall have no effect[,] and a new  
20 application must be filed within the time prescribed herein." L.Cv.R. 54(a) (emphasis added).

